

CBCAP EVIDENCE-BASED AND EVIDENCE INFORMED¹
PROGRAMS AND PRACTICES CHECKLIST

Directions: Review the documentation and information regarding the program/practice being considered and place a check mark for each item under YES or NO. Programs/ practices must receive a YES answer for every item in order to be categorized as Evidence-based or Evidence-informed for the CBCAP PART Efficiency measure.

Name of Program/Practice being evaluated: _____

Reviewed by: _____ **Date:** _____

EMERGING PROGRAMS AND PRACTICES

PROGRAMMATIC CHARACTERISTICS

YES NO

The program can articulate a theory of change which specifies clearly identified outcomes and describes the activities that are related to those outcomes. This is represented through a program logic model or conceptual framework that depicts the assumptions for the activities that will lead to the desired outcomes.

The program may have a book, manual, other available writings, training materials, OR may be working on documents that specifies the components of the practice protocol and describes how to administer it.

The practice is generally accepted in clinical practice as appropriate for use with children and their parents/caregivers receiving child abuse prevention or family support services.

RESEARCH & EVALUATION CHARACTERISTICS

YES NO

There is no clinical or empirical evidence or theoretical basis indicating that the practice constitutes a substantial risk of harm to those receiving it, compared to its likely benefits.

Programs and practices have been evaluated using less rigorous evaluation designs that have with no comparison group, including “pre-post” designs that examine change in individuals from before the program or practice was implemented to afterward, without comparing to an “untreated” group

OR an evaluation is in process with the results not yet available.

¹ These categories were adapted from material developed by the California Clearinghouse on Evidence-Based Practice in Child Welfare and the Washington Council for the Prevention of Child Abuse and Neglect.

The program is committed to and is actively working on building stronger evidence through ongoing evaluation and continuous quality improvement activities.

PROMISING PROGRAMS AND PRACTICES

PROGRAMMATIC CHARACTERISTICS

YES NO

The program can articulate a theory of change which specifies clearly identified outcomes and describes the activities that are related to those outcomes. This is represented through presence of a program logic model or conceptual framework that depicts the assumptions for the activities that will lead to the desired outcomes.

The program may have a book, manual, other available writings, and training materials that specifies the components of the practice protocol and describes how to administer it. The program is able to provide formal or informal support and guidance regarding program model.

The practice is generally accepted in clinical practice as appropriate for use with children and their parents/caregivers receiving services child abuse prevention or family support services.

RESEARCH & EVALUATION CHARACTERISTICS

YES NO

There is no clinical or empirical evidence or theoretical basis indicating that the practice constitutes a substantial risk of harm to those receiving it, compared to its likely benefits.

At least one study utilizing some form of control or comparison group (e.g., untreated group, placebo group, matched wait list) has established the practice's efficacy over the placebo, or found it to be comparable to or better than an appropriate comparison practice, in reducing risk and increasing protective factors associated with the prevention of abuse or neglect.. The evaluation utilized a quasi-experimental study design, involving the comparison of two or more groups that differ based on their receipt of the program or practice. A formal, independent report has been produced which documents the program's positive outcomes.

The local program is committed to and is actively working on building stronger evidence through ongoing evaluation and continuous quality improvement activities. Programs continually examine long-term outcomes and participate in research that would help solidify the outcome findings.

The local program can demonstrate adherence to model fidelity in program or practice implementation.

SUPPORTED PROGRAMS AND PRACTICES*

PROGRAMMATIC CHARACTERISTICS

YES NO

The program articulates a theory of change which specifies clearly identified outcomes and describes the activities that are related to those outcomes. This is represented through the presence of a detailed logic model or conceptual framework that depicts the assumptions for the inputs and outputs that lead to the short, intermediate and long-term outcomes.

The practice has a book, manual, training, or other available writings that specifies the components of the practice protocol and describes how to administer it.

The practice is generally accepted in clinical practice as appropriate for use with children and their parents/caregivers receiving child abuse prevention or family support services.

RESEARCH & EVALUATION CHARACTERISTICS

YES NO

There is no clinical or empirical evidence or theoretical basis indicating that the practice constitutes a substantial risk of harm to those receiving it, compared to its likely benefits.

The research supporting the efficacy of the program or practice in producing positive outcomes associated with reducing risk and increasing protective factors associated with the prevention of abuse or neglect meets at least one or more of the following criterion:

- At least two rigorous randomized controlled trials (RCTs) in highly controlled settings (e.g., university laboratory) have found the practice to be superior to an appropriate comparison practice. The RCTs have been reported in published, peer-reviewed literature. **OR**
- At least two between-group design studies using either a matched comparison or regression discontinuity have found the practice to be equivalent to another practice that would qualify as supported or well-supported; or superior to an appropriate comparison practice.

SUPPORTED PROGRAMS AND PRACTICES* (continued)

RESEARCH & EVALUATION CHARACTERISTICS

YES NO

The practice has been shown to have a sustained effect at least one year beyond the end of treatment, with no evidence that the effect is lost after this time.

Outcome measures must be reliable and valid, and administered consistently and accurately across all subjects.

If multiple outcome studies have been conducted, the overall weight of evidence supports the efficacy of the practice. [If not applicable, you may skip this question.]

The program is committed and is actively working on building stronger evidence through ongoing evaluation and continuous quality improvement activities.

The local program can demonstrate adherence to model fidelity in program implementation.

**Note: For purposes of OMB PART reporting, programs and practices at Supported Program and Practices and Well Supported Programs and Practices will be given the same weight.*

WELL SUPPORTED PROGRAMS AND PRACTICES*

PROGRAMMATIC CHARACTERISTICS

YES NO

The program articulates a theory of change which specifies clearly identified outcomes and describes the activities that are related to those outcomes. This is represented through the presence of a detailed logic model or conceptual framework that depicts the assumptions for the inputs and outputs that lead to the short, intermediate and long-term outcomes.

The practice has a book, manual, training or other available writings that specify components of the service and describes how to administer it.

The practice is generally accepted in clinical practice as appropriate for use with children and their parents/caregivers receiving child abuse prevention or family support services.

RESEARCH & EVALUATION CHARACTERISTICS

YES NO

Multiple Site Replication in Usual Practice Settings: At least two rigorous randomized controlled trials (RCT's) or comparable methodology in different usual care or practice settings have found the practice to be superior to an appropriate comparison practice. The RCTs have been reported in published, peer-reviewed literature.

There is no clinical or empirical evidence or theoretical basis indicating that the practice constitutes a substantial risk of harm to those receiving it, compared to its likely benefits.

The practice has been shown to have a sustained effect at least one year beyond the end of treatment, with no evidence that the effect is lost after this time.

Outcome measures must be reliable and valid, and administered consistently and accurately across all subjects.

If multiple outcome studies have been conducted, the overall weight of the evidence supports the effectiveness of the practice.

WELL SUPPORTED PROGRAMS AND PRACTICES* (continued)

RESEARCH & EVALUATION CHARACTERISTICS

YES NO

The program is committed and is actively working on building stronger evidence through ongoing evaluation and continuous quality improvement activities.

The local program can demonstrate adherence to model fidelity in program implementation.

**Note: For purposes of OMB PART reporting, programs and practices at Supported Program and Practices and Well Supported Programs and Practices will be given the same weight.*

**PROGRAMS AND PRACTICES LACKING SUPPORT OR POSITIVE EVIDENCE/
UNDETERMINED/ HARMFUL**

Programs or practices that do not meet the threshold for Emerging and Evidence-informed will be counted in this category for purposes of reporting for the CBCAP Efficiency measure.

PROGRAMMATIC CHARACTERISTICS

The program is not able to articulate a theory of change which specifies clearly identified outcomes and describes the activities that are related to those outcomes.

The program does not have a book, manual, other available writings, training materials that describe the components of the program.

RESEARCH & EVALUATION CHARACTERISTICS

Two or more randomized, controlled trials (RCTs) have found the practice has not resulted in improved outcomes, when compared to usual care.

OR

If multiple outcome studies have been conducted, the overall weight of evidence does NOT support the efficacy of the practice.

OR

No evaluation has been conducted. The program may or may not have plans to implement an evaluation.