

CALIFORNIA
FY 06; Funding 05

NETWORKS	FUNDED PROGRAMS	EMPHASIS ON NEW AREAS OF SERVICE and RESPITE/CRISIS CARE
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The CA Office of Child Abuse Prevention (OCAP) provides leadership for California's network of community-based child abuse prevention/family resource and support programs. ▪ Examples of CA's regional and statewide networks: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ Regional Resource Consortium: Regional coordinators in eight CA regions assist community-based child abuse prevention councils (CAPCs) with training and TA. Each council's purpose is to coordinate local efforts to prevent and respond to child abuse. Councils promote system-wide multidisciplinary coordination, and advocate for policy development and changes. ♦ Small County Initiative II Network (SCI II) was developed to assist small rural counties with populations of 70,000 or less to plan for and provide comprehensive, collaborative, and integrated services to children and their families to prevent child abuse and neglect. ♦ STRATEGIES Regional Network, designed to serve the three regions of California (northern, central and southern), is composed of three collaborative, non-profit partners who provide training and technical assistance to Family Resource Centers (FRCs). ♦ Child Abuse Training and Technical Assistance Program (CATTa): OCAP funds training centers designed to support statewide training and TA for child abuse prevention, intervention and treatment. ♦ County Service Network: Local prevention programs and services are provided by a network of providers within each county. County-specific information is included as an attachment to the report. 	<p>Analysis of county information this reporting period reveals that a number of counties fund services or referral agencies that provide the core CBCAP services (to varying degrees) of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Parent education, mutual support and leadership ▪ In-home family support services and home visiting ▪ Respite <p>CBCAP optional services funded include (but are not limited to):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Family Resource Centers ▪ Foster parent activities ▪ Recreational activities ▪ Health issues ▪ After-school support for at-risk youth ▪ Criminal justice support ▪ Play and grow classes for families <p>Child Abuse Prevention, Intervention and Treatment (CAPIT) program, funded by the State's general funds and used as CA's CBCAP match provides:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Child and adult psychiatric evaluations ▪ Child care ▪ Transportation ▪ Child development screening ▪ Housing support ▪ School safety ▪ 24-hour Stressline 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Each county developed and implemented a three-year plan for providing prevention/family support services, and utilized an assessment process to determine unmet needs and plan services to meet needs. Services were selected through a process that encourages community input and meaningful participation of consumers. As a result, local services are diverse and reflective of local needs. ▪ As with all services, counties individually determine whether or not to provide respite, yet they are strongly encouraged to do so. ▪ In addition to the three-year plans, each county is required to develop County Self-Assessment and System Improvement Plans (SIPs) to monitor and track child welfare service performance. OCAP encourages CAPCs and their prevention partners to coordinate planning and needs assessment with the County Self Assessment and SIPs. The following are examples of the needs assessment process, identified unmet needs, and how an identified need was met: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ In Contra Costa County, a needs assessment process occurs every two years to determine the unmet need in the county and includes public hearings and on-line surveys of professionals across the county and in -person interviews with major stakeholders to determine funding priorities. All projects selected from the RFP process must meet designated priorities. ♦ Fresno identified a need for more intervention/prevention services to children and families located in rural areas. In response, a service provider has expanded these services to rural communities. <p>New or Expanded Statewide Efforts to Meet Unmet Need</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ "Supporting Father Involvement Study" continued ♦ To ensure implementation of the Safely Surrendered Baby Law, OCAP updated and redesigned its public outreach materials.
DESCRIPTION OF NUMBER OF FAMILIES SERVED	EVALUATION AND QUANTITATIVE SERVICE INFORMATION	TRAINING and TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROVIDED

<p>Total Numbers Served Statewide</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 22,887 children ▪ 19,948 parents ▪ 12, 492 families ▪ 1,516 families had children with disabilities ▪ 652 families had parents with disabilities <p>Numbers Served by Core and Optional Services</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Voluntary Home Visiting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Children – 9,649 • Parent/Caregiver – 6,072 • Children with Disabilities – 316 • Parent/Caregiver with disabilities – 263 • Families – 5,078 ▪ Parenting Classes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Children – 2, 094 • Parent/Caregiver – 3762 • Children with disabilities – 52 • Parent/Caregiver with disabilities – 91 • Families – 2851 ▪ Parent Mutual Support <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Children – 1077 • Parent/Caregiver - 1897 • Children with disabilities – 24 • Parent/Caregiver with disabilities – 37 • Families – 1285 ▪ Respite <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Children – 388 • Parent/Caregiver – 402 • Children with disabilities – 55 • Parent/Caregiver with disabilities – 35 • Families – 272 ▪ Other Resource and Family Support Services (including after school support for at-risk youth, life skills management, etc) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Children –9679 • Parent/Caregiver – 7815 • Children with disabilities – 1069 • Parent/Caregiver with disabilities – 226 • Families – 3006 ▪ Numbers served by CAPIT funded programs were also provided for: Family Counseling, Parent Education and Support, Home Visiting, Psychiatric Evaluations, Respite, Child Care, Transportation, and Multidisciplinary Team Services. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ OMB Program Assessment Rating Tool <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 60% of funds were spent on level 0 • 15% was spent on Level 1 • 12% was spent on Level 2 • 12% was spent on Level 3 • 1% was spent on Level 4 ▪ Client Satisfaction: Each county is required to report how they measure client satisfaction. Methods used include: Client satisfaction surveys, engagement data, client notes, peer review, pre and post tests, and interviews. Information received on client satisfaction and effectiveness of programs has generally been very positive. ▪ Evaluation data are collected primarily at the local level where services are delivered. Counties report that the available data, primarily engagement and short-term outcomes, have shown that the effectiveness of funded programs was positive ▪ OCAP is supporting Strategies in working with the Institute for Community Collaborative Studies, California State University Monterey Bay to build the capacity of FRCs and County Child Welfare Agencies to use the Family Development Matrix tools for improved outcomes of families. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ CATTA: OCAP has a grant with the Child Abuse Training and Technical Assistance Center (CATTA), an agency of the CA Institute of Human Services at Sonoma State University, to provide statewide training and TA. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Training events included: annual workshop for CAPCs, Peer Review and Support, Outcomes and Accountability, Positive Parenting, Strategic Planning, Breaking the Cycle of Abuse. • Technical Assistance included: on-site tailored TA with contracted facilitator; remote and in-person TA, logistical support, distribution of education and research materials; remote and in-person TA provided by CATTA's eight Regional Resource Coordinators; and a website for ongoing assistance, a quarterly newsletter, and an online directory. ▪ STRATEGIES: This Family Resource Center training and technical assistance project provides community participation and network development through family resource centers. Key projects: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sustainability Project: 26 FRCs completed the pilot year receiving 810 hours of individual staff/group technical assistance. • High Performance Partnership: Supporting CA's Child Welfare Improvement Plans: a training and TA process to assist counties in implementing differential response through effective public/private partnerships. • Training: Foundation in Family Support, Case Management, Home Visiting Essentials, FRC teleconference series, core training, and other specialized regional trainings. • Individual and Group Technical Assistance: Strategies provided 411 hours of individual TA to 178 agencies and 779 hours of group TA to 112 agencies in 21 counties. Topics included strategic planning, parent involvement, parent boards, and conflict resolution. ▪ Evidence Based Clearinghouse: OCAP awarded Children's Hospital San Diego a three year grant to develop, implement and maintain an evidence-based clearinghouse for child welfare practices in CA. ▪ Additional OCAP-funded training <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Special Start Training Program • Mandated Reporter Training
--	--	--

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Numbers documenting the reach of public awareness and public education activities were included in the report. <p>Outreach</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ One CAPIT funded project in Contra Cost County outreaches to families whose children have cognitive/emotional/developmental delays. Parents who have been through the system are recruited, trained and supported, and supervised to develop mentoring/support groups for these families. ▪ STRATEGIES is refining a directory of FRCs that provides information on services to families with special needs children. Two FRC Networks focus on serving children with special needs, and two CAPCs in LA County, specifically for children with disabilities, plan to become statewide councils. ▪ Ventura County Partnership for Safe Families and Communities cosponsored an event with a focus on domestic violence prevention within the migrant farm worker community. 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parent Outreach Project
<p>INNOVATIVE FUNDING MECHANISMS</p>	<p>PEER REVIEW</p>	<p>PARENT LEADERSHIP</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Most counties combine Child Abuse Prevention, Intervention, and Treatment (CAPIT), CBCAP, Promoting Safe and Stable Families (PSSF), and other prevention funding to provide a full array of integrated services at the local level. ▪ At the state level, the percentage of funds that are not distributed directly to counties are also often blended. For example, OCAP used the 15% of PSSF funds that were not locally distributed to be used in conjunction with CBCAP funds to support and evaluate CA's rural Small County Initiative II. ▪ 50% of CBCAP funds were allocated to the counties to be used in accordance with their county plans. The remaining funds were used to support: Training and Technical assistance, Prevention network support, projects such as the SCI II evaluations, Parent Leadership. ▪ CA Dept of Social Services, along with county social services agencies, work with the CA Department of Motor Vehicles to generate 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Family Resource Centers: STRATEGIES coordinated and implemented three peer review trainings for FRCs. 14 FRCs from two counties completed the peer review training process. Each FRC identified a specific goal and outcome to work on and regional project specialists follow up with FRCs to assist in achieving goals. ▪ Network Peer Review and Support: Child Abuse Prevention Council Roundtables have provided a peer review and support opportunity for members of CAPCs. Focus was on sharing programmatic strengths and assets, including exchange of best practices in the areas of coordination, training, raising community awareness of child abuse issues, advocacy and resource development. Attending CAPCs reported that this was a very beneficial process for them. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ A grant between OCAP and Parents Anonymous, Inc. (PA) provides training and technical assistance to administrators, service providers and parents at the county level to increase their awareness of the benefit of working in partnership with parent leaders. Parents Anonymous maintains and supports a California Parent Leadership Team (CPLT) composed of 18 ethnically diverse Parent Leaders who work with the PA staff in providing training and technical assistance to the counties. ▪ Parent Leadership Ambassador Training provided OCAP with training to increase organization and network capacity to meaningfully engage parents and strengthen programs. One staff member and parent partner attended the 1.5 day training. A Parent Leadership Conference was to be held Jan. 2007.

<p>“Kid’s” Plate Revenue from initial and renewal fees for custom and sequential California “Kids” license plates. Revenue is used to strengthen the health and safety protections for children and to help fund programs to reduce child injury and child abuse. Counties are encouraged to coordinate use of Kid’s plate funds with CAPIT, CBCAP and PSSF Funds.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ CAPCs are connecting with private funding through fund raising events, corporate community support and private donations. 		
<p>LINKAGES WITH OTHER SYSTEMS (CHILD WELFARE, PSSF, ETC.)</p>	<p>OTHER ELEMENTS:</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ OCAP is involved in the following three systemic change initiatives by providing input from the prevention perspective, establishing goals and objectives, progress reporting, and identifying ways to collaborate and coordinate resources to meet shared goals: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ Child Welfare Systems Improvements is a comprehensive approach to transform child welfare services through an integration of system elements including: policy shifts, practice improvements, alignment of partners, systems and communities, and new accountability structures to achieve federal outcomes. This integrated approach is being initiated by implementing a standardized safety assessment system, and a differential response intake structure. ♦ Child and Family Service Review/Program Improvement Plan (CFSR/PIP) ♦ Outcomes and Accountability Initiative (C-CFSR) system established an outcomes-based review system, patterned after the federal CFSR, using County Self-Assessment, Peer Quality Case Review, and System Improvement Plans (SIPS) to monitor and track county child welfare services. ▪ Family Development Matrix Pilot Project: a 36-month collaborative effort between STRATEGIES, Region 2, and the Institute for Community Collaborative Studies at CA State University Monterey Bay to encourage 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Child Abuse Prevention Month <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ Kids” day at the Capitol - an annual state public awareness event ♦ Numerous local events 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪

<p>FRC/public child welfare county partnerships in working with families involved in differential response.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Pathways to Outcomes is a national information system based at Harvard University to provide states with systems change information and processes for addressing family economic outcomes and children's success in school outcomes. CDSS engaged Harvard to add a Pathway related to outcomes for children in safe, stable and nurturing homes. 		
--	--	--

State Allowable Claims: \$12,356,000

Population-Based Allocations: \$ 3,288,164

Leveraged-Funds-Based Allocations: \$ 603,591

Final State Allocations: \$ 3,891,755

Name of Agency	Office of Child Abuse Prevention
State Lead Contact Person	California Department of Social Services
E-mail	Anna Shetka and Susan Nisenbaum
Address	anna.shetka.dss.ca.gov and susan.nissenbaum.ca.gov
	744 P St MS 11-82
	Sacramento, CA 95814
	Anna Shetka: 916/657-4709
	Susan Nisenbaum: 916/651-6566
	FAX: 916/651-6328
Website	www.dss.cahwnet.gov/cdssweb/ChildAbuse188.htm
Regional Lead:	Sally Flanzer- Region 9
Prepared by:	Jill Kagan
Month/Year	June 2007